

|   |                        |                      |  |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b>  |  |
|   | 10/661,774             | MERCURIO, ANTHONY F. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>      |  |
|   | Daniel S. Metzmaier    | 1712                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Ayenat Belay for Richard H. Tushin.

**Status of Application: Rejected**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2006

**Time:** ~ 3:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none.*

Claims discussed:

*none.*

Prior art documents discussed:


*none.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner inquired with applicants' representatives' Office regarding the status of filings in the instant application. Applicants' Office indicated no filings responsive to the Office Action.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL S. METZMAIER**  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 ART UNIT 1712

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)